

Amendment to be Introduced by AFAC at Faculty Senate

The Academic Faculty Affairs Committee met following the April 16 Faculty Senate Open Meeting to discuss comments offered by colleagues regarding its proposed changes to Article 220.07 of the SUNY Cortland Handbook. The Provost, who attended the committee's meeting on April 19, shared an idea arising from her conversation with a member of the academic faculty. The committee discussed and endorsed the idea shared by the Provost. This was subsequently presented to the Faculty Senate Steering Committee on April 23 where it received unanimous support. The following amendment to AFAC's original proposal is presented below.

Language in AFAC's Current Proposal:

220.07 C 2:

For evaluation purposes, candidates should use the following definitions and work examples in each of the three categories described below. While these should be considered all-university guidelines, there may be areas where a specific academic department's disciplinary practices would deviate from these guidelines. In those cases, it is the responsibility of the candidate and the recommending department to identify and explain such deviations for the benefit of subsequent levels of review.

Amendment to AFAC's Current Proposal (with added language underlined):

220.07 C 2:

For evaluation purposes, candidates should use the following definitions and work examples in each of the three categories described below. While these should be considered all-university guidelines, there may be areas where a specific academic department's disciplinary practices would deviate from these guidelines. In those cases, it is the responsibility of the candidate and the recommending department to identify and explain such deviations for the benefit of subsequent levels of review. To assist academic faculty undergoing personnel review, departments that engage in academic advising should specify in their personnel policies and procedures how they account for advisement activities.